

On the intersections of Fibonacci, Pell, and Lucas numbers

Max A. Alekseyev*

November 8, 2010

Abstract

We describe how to compute the intersection of two Lucas sequences of the forms $\{U_n(P, \pm 1)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ or $\{V_n(P, \pm 1)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with $P \in \mathbb{Z}$ that includes sequences of Fibonacci, Pell, Lucas, and Lucas-Pell numbers. We prove that such an intersection is finite except for the case $U_n(1, -1)$ and $U_n(3, 1)$ and the case of two V -sequences when the product of their discriminants is a perfect square. Moreover, the intersection in these cases also forms a Lucas sequence. Our approach relies on solving homogeneous quadratic Diophantine equations and Thue equations. In particular, we prove that 0, 1, 2, and 5 are the only numbers that are both Fibonacci and Pell, and list similar results for many other pairs of Lucas sequences. We further extend our results to Lucas sequences with arbitrary initial terms.

In 1962 Stein [11] using elementary arguments proved that if two Fibonacci sequences (with different initial terms) share three terms then they are identical from some terms on. This result was later generalized by Revuz [9] to arbitrary sequences satisfying the same second order linear recurrence. A similar problem is to determine the intersection of two sequences satisfying distinct linear recurrences. Mignotte, 1978 [6] proved that the intersection of two sequences is finite unless the roots of their characteristic polynomials are multiplicatively dependent. Mátyás, 1981 [5] gave a criterion for determining whether two second order linear sequences have nonempty intersection. Posing the problem as a system of Pellian equations, Pinch, 1988 [7] and Tzanakis, 2002 [15] proposed computational methods for solving such systems.

In the current paper we focus on the computational matters of finding the intersection and provide some explicit results for a class of Lucas sequences. While the general structure of such intersections is well known [6, 5, 7, 15], our approach (outlined in the proof of Theorem 6) is different from previous studies (see [15] for a review of various computational methods). In short, we reduce the problem to a finite number of Thue equations, each of which has a finite number of solutions. In practice the intersection of particular Lucas sequences can be computed with PARI/GP computer algebra system [13]

*Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of South Carolina.
Email: maxal@cse.sc.edu

providing a functionality for solving Thue equations, based on Bilu and Hanrot's improvement [1] of Tzanakis and de Weger's method [16]. We also characterize the cases when the intersection is infinite and show that it also forms a Lucas sequence.

While the current paper was under review, our attention was drawn to the paper of Szalay, 2007 [12], which employs a similar approach for solving systems of Pellian equations. We therefore feel obliged to underline the differences between [12] and our paper. In Theorem 6 we consider a general system of two homogeneous quadratic equations in two indeterminants and formulate conditions under which such a system has a finite number of integer solutions. The systems of Pellian equations considered in [12] represent a particular case of the system from our Theorem 6, while the algorithm and the corresponding proof of solutions' finiteness in [12] are flawed.¹ In [12] it is used the result from [4] that the denominator in the general solution to a Diophantine equation $Ax^2 + By^2 + Cz^2 = 0$, derived from a particular solution (x_0, y_0, z_0) with $z_0 \neq 0$, divides $2A^2BCz_0^3$. In Theorem 5 we prove a stronger result that this denominator actually divides $2\text{lcm}(A, B)Cz_0^2$, leading to a smaller number of Thue equations to solve. This result also corrects an error in Corollary 6.3.8 of [2]. We further focus on multiple issues specific to the intersections of Lucas sequences which are out of scope of [12]. Said that, we refer to [12] for many examples of systems of Pellian equations and a review of their applications and related literature.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we give definition and basic properties of Lucas sequences. We develop an algorithmic approach to solving systems of quadratic Diophantine equations in Section 2 and apply it to the problem of finding intersections of Lucas sequences in Section 3. Some numerical results are given and a number of open questions are posed in Section 4. Finally, we discuss generalizations of our approach to Lucas sequences with arbitrary initial terms in Section 5.

1 Basic properties of Lucas sequences

The pair of Lucas sequences $U_n(P, Q)$ and $V_n(P, Q)$ are defined by the same linear recurrent relation with the coefficient $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$ but different initial terms:

$$\begin{aligned} U_0(P, Q) &= 0, & U_1(P, Q) &= 1, & U_{n+1}(P, Q) &= P \cdot U_n(P, Q) - Q \cdot U_{n-1}(P, Q), & n \geq 1; \\ V_0(P, Q) &= 2, & V_1(P, Q) &= P, & V_{n+1}(P, Q) &= P \cdot V_n(P, Q) - Q \cdot V_{n-1}(P, Q), & n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Some Lucas sequences have their own names:

Sequence	Name	Initial terms
$U_n(1, -1)$	Fibonacci numbers	$0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, \dots$
$V_n(1, -1)$	Lucas numbers	$2, 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 18, 29, \dots$
$U_n(2, -1)$	Pell numbers	$0, 1, 2, 5, 12, 29, 70, 169, \dots$
$V_n(2, -1)$	Pell-Lucas numbers	$2, 2, 6, 14, 34, 82, 198, \dots$

¹In [12] it is incorrectly claimed that the constructed quartic equation (2.5) represents a Thue equation. We found a counterexample with $(a_1, b_1, c_1) = (5, -1, -1)$ and $(a_2, b_2, c_2) = (20, -1, 1)$ in (2.1) – (2.2) which yields $(a, b, c) = (25, -1, -1)$ in (2.4). From a basic solution $(X_0, Y_0, Z_0) = (1, 0, 5)$ it further leads to the reducible quartic polynomial $T(s, r) = 3125s^4 - 2250s^2r^2 + 5r^4 = 5(25s^2 - 20sr - r^2)(25s^2 + 20sr - r^2)$ in the l.h.s. of (2.5).

Other examples include Jacobsthal numbers $U_n(1, -2)$, Mersenne numbers $U_n(3, 2)$ etc.

In the current paper we focus on the case of $Q = 1$ or $Q = -1$. We also notice that

$$U_n(-P, Q) = (-1)^{n+1} U_n(P, Q) \quad \text{and} \quad V_n(-P, Q) = (-1)^n V_n(P, Q)$$

and restrict our attention to Lucas sequences with $P \geq 0$. Similarly, we exclude from consideration terms with negative indices, noticing that they may deviate only in signs:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{-n}(P, 1) &= -U_n(P, 1), & V_{-n}(P, 1) &= V_n(P, 1), \\ U_{-n}(P, -1) &= (-1)^{n+1} U_n(P, -1), & V_{-n}(P, -1) &= (-1)^{n+1} V_n(P, 1). \end{aligned}$$

From further consideration we also exclude the following degenerate cases:

(P, Q)	$U_n(P, Q)$	$V_n(P, Q)$
$(0, 1)$	$U_{2m} = 0$ $U_{2m+1} = (-1)^m$	$V_{2m} = 2 \cdot (-1)^m$ $V_{2m+1} = 0$
$(0, -1)$	$U_{2m} = 0$ $U_{2m+1} = (-1)^m$	$V_{2m} = 2$ $V_{2m+1} = 0$
$(1, 1)$	$U_{3m} = 0$ $U_{3m+1} = (-1)^m$ $U_{3m+2} = (-1)^m$	$V_{3m} = 2$ $V_{3m+1} = (-1)^m$ $V_{3m+2} = (-1)^{m+1}$
$(2, 1)$	$U_m = m$	$V_m = 2$

It is easy to see that under the described restrictions both $U_n(P, Q)$ and $V_n(P, Q)$ are positive for $n > 0$.

The characteristic polynomial of Lucas sequences $\{U_n(P, Q)\}$ and $\{V_n(P, Q)\}$ is $\lambda^2 - P\lambda + Q$ with the discriminant $D = P^2 - 4Q$. For non-degenerate sequences, the discriminant D is a positive non-square integer.

Let $\alpha = \frac{P + \sqrt{D}}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{P - \sqrt{D}}{2}$ be the roots of the characteristic polynomial, then the following explicit (Binet-type) formulas take place

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \alpha\beta \\ D &= (\alpha - \beta)^2 \\ U_n(P, Q) &= \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} \\ V_n(P, Q) &= \alpha^n + \beta^n \end{aligned}$$

In particular, these formulas imply that

$$V_n(P, Q)^2 - D \cdot U_n(P, Q)^2 = 4Q^n. \quad (1)$$

For $|Q| = 1$, it means that the pairs $(V_n(P, Q), U_n(P, Q))$ form solutions to the equation:²

$$x^2 - Dy^2 = \pm 4. \quad (2)$$

The converse statement can be used to prove that given positive integers belong to $\{V_n(P, Q)\}$ or $\{U_n(P, Q)\}$ respectively (stated without a proof for Fibonacci numbers in [8]):

²Here and everywhere below $\pm$ in the r.h.s. of an equation means that we accept both signs as solutions.

Theorem 1. Let P, Q be integers such that $P > 0$, $|Q| = 1$, $(P, Q) \neq (3, 1)$, and $D = P^2 - 4Q > 0$. If positive integers u and v are such that

$$v^2 - Du^2 = \pm 4,$$

then

$$u \in \{U_n(P, Q)\} \quad \text{and} \quad v \in \{V_n(P, Q)\}.$$

Proof. We notice that D is congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4, implying that the general solution to equation (2) has the form $(x, y) = (x_k, y_k)$, where

$$\frac{x_k + y_k \sqrt{D}}{2} = \pm \left(\frac{x_1 + y_1 \sqrt{D}}{2} \right)^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and (x_1, y_1) is a solution with the smallest positive y_1 (see Proposition 6.3.16 in [2]). Since there is a solution $(x, y) = (P, 1)$ with the smallest possible positive $y = 1$, we can take $(x_1, y_1) = (P, 1)$.³

Therefore, for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\frac{v + u \sqrt{D}}{2} = \pm \left(\frac{P + \sqrt{D}}{2} \right)^n$$

implying that

$$u = \pm U_n(P, Q) \quad \text{and} \quad v = \pm V_n(P, Q).$$

Since $u > 0$ and $v > 0$, we have

$$u = U_{|n|}(P, Q) \quad \text{and} \quad v = V_{|n|}(P, Q).$$

□

2 Quadratic Diophantine equations

While theorems in this section can be viewed as consequences of the fundamental results of Thue [14] and Siegel [10], we focus on computational aspects and give independent self-contained proofs of algorithmic nature.

Theorem 2. A system of Diophantine equations

$$\begin{cases} f_1(m, n) = k_1 \\ f_2(m, n) = k_2 \end{cases}$$

where $f_1(m, n)$ and $f_2(m, n)$ are linearly independent homogeneous quadratic polynomials with integer coefficients and $(k_1, k_2) \neq (0, 0)$ are integers, has a finite number of solutions.

³In the case $(P, Q) = (3, 1)$, there is also another solution $(x, y) = (1, 1)$ with $y = 1$, see Theorem 7 for further details.

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that $k_1 \neq 0$.

If m or n is zero, then the other indeterminate may take only up to two values satisfying the quadratic equation $f_1(0, n) = k_1$ or $f_1(m, 0) = k_1$. For the rest assume that both m and n are non-zero.

The given system implies an equation $g(m, n) = 0$ where $g(m, n) = k_2 f_1(m, n) - k_1 f_2(m, n)$ is a homogeneous quadratic polynomial.

If $g(m, n) = cmn$ for some coefficient $c \neq 0$, then there are no non-zero solutions.

If $g(m, n) \neq cmn$, without loss of generality we assume that the coefficient of m^2 in $g(m, n)$ is non-zero, implying that $g(m, n) = h(m/n)n^2$ where $h(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial. In this case, we have a quadratic equation $h(m/n) = 0$ that determines up to two possible values of the ratio m/n . For a fixed value of m/n , the equation $f_1(m, n) = k_1$ has only a finite number of integer solutions.

Therefore, the given system of Diophantine equations has only a finite number of solutions. $\square$

Theorem 3. A Diophantine equation $f(m, n) = k$, where $f(m, n)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 with integer coefficients and $k \neq 0$ is an integer, may have an infinite number of integer solutions only if $f(m, n) = c \cdot g(m, n)^2$, where c is an integer and $g(m, n)$ is a polynomial of degree 2 with integer coefficients.

Proof. Since the polynomial $f(m, n)$ is homogeneous, it can be represented as $f(m, n) = n^4 g(m/n)$ where $g(x)$ is a univariate polynomial with integer coefficients. Consider a factorization of $g(x)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ that implies a factorization $f(m, n)$ into irreducible homogeneous polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}$.

If $f(m, n)$ has two linear factors $a_1 m + b_1 n$ and $a_2 m + b_2 n$ that are linearly independent, then the equation $f(m, n) = k$ implies a finite number of systems of the form:

$$\begin{cases} a_1 m + b_1 n = d_1 \\ a_2 m + b_2 n = d_2 \end{cases}$$

where d_1, d_2 run over the divisors⁴ of k . Each of these systems has at most one solution, implying that the equation $f(m, n) = k$ has only a finite number of solutions. For the rest we assume that $f(m, n)$ has no two linearly independent linear factors. Then the polynomial $f(m, n)$ is not of the form $c \cdot g(m, n)^2$ only in the following four cases:

1. $f(m, n)$ is irreducible
2. $f(m, m) = g_2(m, n)h_2(m, n)$
3. $f(m, m) = g_1(m, n)h_3(m, n)$
4. $f(m, m) = g_1(m, n)^2 h_2(m, n)$

where $g_i(m, n)$ and $h_i(m, n)$ are irreducible polynomials of degree i with integer coefficients; and $g_2(m, n)$ and $h_2(m, n)$ are linearly independent.

⁴Unless specified otherwise, the divisors of an integer include both positive and negative divisors.

In the case 1, the polynomial $f(m, n)$ is irreducible, implying that the Diophantine equation $f(m, n) = k$ has a finite number of solutions due to the Thue theorem [14].

In the case 2, the equation $f(m, n) = k$ is equivalent to the union of the following systems of equations:

$$\begin{cases} g_2(m, n) = d \\ h_2(m, n) = k/d \end{cases}$$

where d goes over the divisors of k . Each of these systems has only a finite number of solutions by Theorem 2. Therefore, the total number of solutions is also finite in this case.

In the case 3, the solutions to $f(m, n) = k$ must also satisfy a Thue equation $h_3(m, n) = d$ for some divisor d of k . Since for each such d there is only a finite number of solutions, the total number of solutions to $f(m, n) = k$ is finite.

In the case 4, we let $g_2(m, n) = g_1(m, n)^2$ and proceed as in the case 2 above. $\square$

Theorem 4. A Diophantine equation $f(m, n) = k$, where $k \neq 0$ and

$$f(m, n) = A(D^2m^4 + E^2n^4) + 2Bmn(Dm^2 + En^2) + Cm^2n^2$$

with integer coefficients A, B, C, D, E , may have an infinite number of integer solutions only if $2A^2DE + B^2 - AC = 0$.

Proof. According to Theorem 3, the equation $f(m, n) = k$ may have an infinite number of solutions only if

$$f(m, n) = c \cdot (um^2 + vmn + wn^2)^2 = c(u^2m^4 + w^2n^4) + 2cvmn(um + wn) + c(2uw + v^2)m^2n^2$$

for some integer coefficients c, u, v, w . In this case $A = cr^2, B = cvr, C = c(2uw + v^2), D = u/r, E = w/r$ for some non-zero rational number r and thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2A^2DE + B^2 - AC &= 2(cr^2)^2(u/r)(w/r) + (cvr)^2 - (cr^2)c(v^2 + 2uw) \\ &= c^2r^2(2uw + v^2 - (2uw + v^2)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

The theorem below represents a correct version of Corollary 6.3.8 in [2] which appears seriously flawed.⁵

Theorem 5. Let A, B, C be non-zero integers and let (x_0, y_0, z_0) be a particular non-trivial integer solution of the Diophantine equation $Ax^2 + By^2 + Cz^2 = 0$ with $z_0 \neq 0$. The general integer solution to the above equation is given by

$$(x, y, z) = \frac{p}{q} (P_x(m, n), P_y(m, n), P_z(m, n)) \quad (3)$$

⁵ Applying Corollary 6.3.8 [2] to the equation $-25x^2 + y^2 = -z^2$ with a particular solution $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (1, 0, 5)$, all integer solutions to this equation are given by $(x, y, z) = \frac{u}{v}(-25s^2 - t^2, -50st, -125s^2 + 5t^2)$, where the integers s, t as well as u, v are coprime and $v \mid 50$. However, it is easy seen that, under the last restriction, the solution $(x, y, z) = (1, 3, 4)$ cannot be obtained.

where m, n as well as p, q are coprime integers with $q > 0$ dividing $2 \text{lcm}(A, B)Cz_0^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} P_x(m, n) &= x_0Am^2 + 2y_0Bmn - x_0Bn^2, \\ P_y(m, n) &= -y_0Am^2 + 2x_0Amn + y_0Bn^2, \\ P_z(m, n) &= z_0Am^2 + z_0Bn^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The general solution (3) to the equation $Ax^2 + By^2 = Cz^2$ is given in Corollary 6.3.6 in [2]. It remains to prove that q divides $2 \text{lcm}(A, B)Cz_0^2$.

The values of x , y , and z in (3) are integer if and only if q divides each of $P_x(m, n)$, $P_y(m, n)$, and $P_z(m, n)$. Then q also divides

$$A(y_0m - 2x_0n) \cdot P_x(m, n) + (x_0Am + 2y_0Bn) \cdot P_y(m, n) = 2BCz_0^2n^3$$

as well as

$$(2x_0Am + y_0Bn) \cdot P_x(m, n) + (-2y_0Bm + x_0Bn) \cdot P_y(m, n) = 2ACz_0^2m^3.$$

Therefore, q divides

$$\gcd(2BCz_0^2n^3, 2ACz_0^2m^3) = 2 \gcd(A, B)Cz_0^2 \gcd\left(\frac{B}{\gcd(A, B)}n^3, \frac{A}{\gcd(A, B)}m^3\right)$$

which in turn divides

$$2 \gcd(A, B)Cz_0^2 \frac{A}{\gcd(A, B)} \frac{B}{\gcd(A, B)} \gcd(n^3, m^3) = 2 \text{lcm}(A, B)Cz_0^2.$$

□

Theorem 6. *A system of Diophantine equations*

$$\begin{cases} a_1x^2 + b_1y^2 + c_1z^2 = d_1 \\ a_2x^2 + b_2y^2 + c_2z^2 = d_2 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i ($i = 1, 2$) are integers and in the matrix of coefficients

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 & d_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

every 2×2 minor is non-zero, has a finite number of solutions.

Proof. It is clear that $(d_1, d_2) \neq (0, 0)$. Without loss of generality we assume that $d_1 \neq 0$.

If $x = 0$ then the resulting system has a finite number of solutions due to Theorem 2. For the rest of the proof assume that $x \neq 0$.

Multiplying the first equation of (4) by d_2 and subtracting the second equation multiplied by d_1 , we get a homogeneous Diophantine equation:

$$Ax^2 + By^2 + Cz^2 = 0 \quad (5)$$

where $A = a_1d_2 - a_2d_1$, $B = b_1d_2 - b_2d_1$, and $C = c_1d_2 - c_2d_1$ represent minors of the matrix M and thus are non-zero.⁶

Let (x_0, y_0, z_0) be a particular solution to equation (5) (for general methods of finding particular solutions to quadratic homogeneous equations in three variables, see [3, 2])⁷ with $z_0 \neq 0$, i.e.,

$$Ax_0^2 + By_0^2 + Cz_0^2 = 0, \quad z_0 \neq 0 \quad (6)$$

then by Theorem 5 the general solution to equation (5) is given by (3), where $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, and $q > 0$ divides $2 \operatorname{lcm}(A, B)Cz_0^2$.

Plugging the general solution (3) into the first equation of (4), we have

$$f(m, n) = d_1 \left(\frac{q}{p} \right)^2 \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(m, n) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a_1x_0^2 + b_1y_0^2 + c_1z_0^2)(A^2m^4 + B^2n^4) \\ &+ 4x_0y_0(a_1B - b_1A)(Am^2 - Bn^2)mn \\ &+ (4a_1y_0^2B^2 - 2AB(a_1x_0^2 + b_1y_0^2 - c_1z_0^2) + 4b_1x_0^2A^2)m^2n^2. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that equation (7) represents a collection of Diophantine equations indexed by coprime integers p and q ranging over the divisors of respectively $\sqrt{d_1/d'_1}$ and $2 \operatorname{lcm}(A, B)Cz_0^2$, where d'_1 is the square-free core of d_1 .⁸

Theorem 4 implies that equation (7) may have infinitely many solutions only if

$$\begin{aligned} &- 2(a_1x_0^2 + b_1y_0^2 + c_1z_0^2)^2AB + (2x_0y_0(a_1B - b_1A))^2 \\ &- (a_1x_0^2 + b_1y_0^2 + c_1z_0^2)(4a_1y_0^2B^2 - 2AB(a_1x_0^2 + b_1y_0^2 - c_1z_0^2) + 4b_1x_0^2A^2) \\ &= -4(Ab_1x_0^2 + Bb_1y_0^2 + Bc_1z_0^2)(Aa_1x_0^2 + Ba_1y_0^2 + Ac_1z_0^2) \\ &= -4z_0^4(Bc_1 - Cb_1)(Ac_1 - Ca_1) \\ &= -4z_0^4d_1^2(b_1c_2 - b_2c_1)(a_1c_2 - a_2c_1) \end{aligned}$$

is zero. However, each factor in this product is non-zero. Therefore, equation (7) has only a finite number of integer solutions. $\square$

⁶From computational perspective, it is worth to divide each of the coefficients A, B, C by their greatest common divisor $\gcd(A, B, C)$ before solving equation (5).

⁷PARI/GP provides a function *bnfisnorm*, which can be used to compute a particular solution to a quadratic homogeneous equation in three indeterminants.

⁸Since the number of resulting equations is proportional to the number of divisors of $2 \operatorname{lcm}(A, B)Cz_0^2$, from computational perspective it may be worth to choose a particular solution (x_0, y_0, z_0) and re-label the variables (if needed) such that the number of divisors of $2 \operatorname{lcm}(A, B)Cz_0^2$ is minimized.

3 Intersection of two Lucas sequences

3.1 Intersection of two U -sequences

If an integer x belongs to the intersection $\{U_n(P_1, Q_1)\}$ and $\{U_n(P_2, Q_2)\}$, where $|Q_1| = |Q_2| = 1$ and $(P_1, Q_1) \neq (P_2, Q_2)$, then (1) implies that x satisfies a system of Diophantine equations:

$$\begin{cases} y^2 - D_1x^2 = \pm 4 \\ z^2 - D_2x^2 = \pm 4 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where $D_1 = P_1^2 - 4Q_1$ and $D_2 = P_2^2 - 4Q_2$. The matrix of its coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} -D_1 & 1 & 0 & \pm 4 \\ -D_2 & 0 & 1 & \pm 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

has all 2×2 minors non-zero unless $D_1 = D_2$ and the signs of 4's are the same.

If $D_1 \neq D_2$, then system (8) has only a finite number of solutions by Theorem 6. We note that it always has solutions $(x, y, z) = (0, 2, 2)$ and $(x, y, z) = (1, P_1, P_2)$ corresponding to common elements $0 = U_0(P_1, Q_1) = U_0(P_2, Q_2)$ and $1 = U_1(P_1, Q_1) = U_1(P_2, Q_2)$.

The case of $D_1 = D_2$ is addressed by the following theorem.

Theorem 7. *For distinct non-degenerate sequences $\{U_n(P_1, Q_1)\}$ and $\{U_n(P_2, Q_2)\}$ with $P_i > 0$ and $|Q_i| = 1$ ($i = 1, 2$), the following conditions are equivalent:*

- *their intersection is infinite;*
- *their discriminants are equal;*
- *these sequences are Fibonacci numbers $\{U_n(1, -1)\}$ and their bisection $\{U_n(3, 1)\} = \{U_{2n}(1, -1)\}$.*

Proof. As discussed above, the intersection of $\{U_n(P_1, Q_1)\}$ and $\{U_n(P_2, Q_2)\}$ can be infinite only if their discriminants are equal, i.e., $P_1^2 - 4Q_1 = P_2^2 - 4Q_2$.

If $Q_1 = Q_2$ then $P_1 = P_2$, which is not the case for distinct sequences.

If $Q_1 = -Q_2$ then $P_1^2 - P_2^2 = \pm 8$, implying that $(P_1, P_2) = (3, 1)$ or $(P_1, P_2) = (1, 3)$ and thus the sequences are $\{U_n(1, -1)\}$ and $\{U_n(3, 1)\}$. Since $U_n(3, 1) = U_{2n}(1, -1)$ for every integer n , $\{U_n(3, 1)\}$ represents a subsequence of $U_n(1, -1)$, implying that they have an infinite intersection:

$$\{U_n(1, -1)\} \cap \{U_n(3, 1)\} = \{U_n(3, 1)\}.$$

□

3.2 Intersection of a U -sequence and a V -sequence

If an integer x belongs to the intersection $\{U_n(P_1, Q_1)\}$ and $\{V_n(P_2, Q_2)\}$, where $|Q_1| = |Q_2| = 1$, then (1) implies that x satisfies a system of Diophantine equations:

$$\begin{cases} y^2 - D_1x^2 = \pm 4 \\ x^2 - D_2z^2 = \pm 4 \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where $D_1 = P_1^2 - 4Q_1$ and $D_2 = P_2^2 - 4Q_2$. The matrix of its coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} -D_1 & 1 & 0 & \pm 4 \\ 1 & 0 & -D_2 & \pm 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

has all 2×2 minors non-zero unless $D_1 = 1$, which is not possible. By Theorem 6, system (9) has only a finite number of solutions.

3.3 Intersection of two V -sequences

If an integer x belongs to the intersection $\{V_n(P_1, Q_1)\}$ and $\{V_n(P_2, Q_2)\}$, where $|Q_1| = |Q_2| = 1$ and $(P_1, Q_1) \neq (P_2, Q_2)$, then (1) implies that x satisfies a system of Diophantine equations:

$$\begin{cases} x^2 - D_1 y^2 = \pm 4 \\ x^2 - D_2 z^2 = \pm 4 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where $D_1 = P_1^2 - 4Q_1$ and $D_2 = P_2^2 - 4Q_2$. The matrix of its coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -D_1 & 0 & \pm 4 \\ 1 & 0 & -D_2 & \pm 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

has all 2×2 minors non-zero unless the signs of 4's in the r.h.s. are the same. In the case of distinct signs in the r.h.s. of system (10), it has a finite number of solutions by Theorem 6. Below we address the case of the same signs, which leads to the following system of equation:

$$\begin{cases} x^2 - D_1 y^2 = \pm 4 \\ D_1 y^2 - D_2 z^2 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

The second equation of system (11) always has a solution $y = z = 0$ corresponding to the common element 2 of any two V -sequences. On the other hand, the system has nonzero solutions only if the product $D_1 D_2$ is a square.

Theorem 8. *The intersection of distinct non-degenerate sequences $V_n(P_1, Q_1)$ and $V_n(P_2, Q_2)$ with $P_i > 0$ and $|Q_i| = 1$ ($i = 1, 2$) is infinite if and only if the product of their discriminants is a square. Moreover, in this case the intersection itself represents a Lucas sequence $V_n(P', Q')$ for some $P' > 0$ and $|Q'| = 1$.*

Proof. As shown above, the intersection of $V_n(P_1, Q_1)$ and $V_n(P_2, Q_2)$ can be infinite only if the product of their discriminants $D_1 = P_1^2 - 4Q_1$ and $D_2 = P_2^2 - 4Q_2$ is a square. To prove the converse, assume that $D_1 D_2$ is a square, i.e., $D_1 = cd_1^2$ and $D_2 = cd_2^2$ for some integers d_1, d_2 with $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = 1$, and non-square integer c .

We first note that in this case, system (10) with opposite signs in the r.h.s. does not have a solution. Indeed, it implies that

$$x^4 - 16 = (x^2 + 4)(x^2 - 4) = D_1 D_2 y^2 z^2 = (cd_1 d_2 yz)^2$$

and hence

$$(x^2 - cd_1d_2yz)(x^2 + cd_1d_2yz) = 16$$

whose the only solutions $x = \pm 2$ do not satisfy the original system (10). Therefore, the intersection of $\{V_n(P_1, Q_1)\}$ and $\{V_n(P_2, Q_2)\}$ necessarily represents the solutions to (10) with the same signs in the r.h.s., which is equivalent to (11).

The general solution to the second equation of (11) is $(y, z) = (\pm d_2t, \pm d_1t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, where the signs are independent of each other. Plugging it into the first equation of (11), we get a Pellian equation:

$$x^2 - Dt^2 = \pm 4 \quad (12)$$

where $D = \frac{D_1D_2}{\gcd(D_1, D_2)} = cd_1^2d_2^2$.

Notice that both D_1 and D_2 are congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4, and so is D . Therefore, it has infinitely many solutions $(x, t) = (x_k, t_k)$ of the form:

$$\frac{x_k + t_k \sqrt{D}}{2} = \pm \left(\frac{x_1 + t_1 \sqrt{D}}{2} \right)^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where (x_1, t_1) is a solution with the smallest positive t_1 (see [2], Proposition 6.3.16). It follows that the sequence x_k is simply $V_k(t_1, \text{sign}(x_1^2 - Dt_1^2))$.

Therefore, the intersection of Lucas sequences $V_n(P_1, Q_1)$ and $V_n(P_2, Q_2)$ forms Lucas sequence $V_k(t_1, \text{sign}(x_1^2 - Dt_1^2))$.

□

4 Computing intersections

We note that the theorems in Section 1 have constructive proofs that allow straightforward implementation. A bottleneck of our approach in practice is Thue equations that are somewhat hard to solve. Nevertheless, there exist algorithms for solving Thue equations [16, 1], while PARI/GP computer algebra system [13] provides an implementation of such an algorithm. Below we present some numerical results obtained with the help of PARI/GP.

4.1 Intersection of Fibonacci and Pell numbers

Theorem 9. *The only numbers that are both Fibonacci and Pell are 0, 1, 2, and 5.*

Proof. To find the intersection of Fibonacci numbers $U_n(1, -1)$ and Pell numbers $U_n(2, -1)$, we first compute their discriminants $D_1 = 5$ and $D_2 = 8$ and plug them into system (8):

$$\begin{cases} y^2 - 5x^2 = \pm 4 \\ z^2 - 8x^2 = \pm 4 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

As it was shown in Section 3.1, this system has only a finite number of solutions. We will find them all, following the outline of the proof of Theorem 6. First we obtain a

homogeneous equation (depending on the choice of signs of 4's in the r.h.s.), resulting in two possible systems of equations:

$$\begin{cases} y^2 - 5x^2 = \pm 4 \\ 3x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} y^2 - 5x^2 = \pm 4 \\ -13x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

that we solve below.

Using a particular solution $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (0, 1, 1)$ to the equation $3x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 0$, from (3) we obtain a general solution:

$$\begin{cases} x = 2mn\frac{p}{q} \\ y = (3m^2 - n^2)\frac{p}{q} \\ z = (3m^2 + n^2)\frac{p}{q} \end{cases}$$

where the integers m, n as well as p, q are coprime, and $q > 0$ divides 6. Plugging these expressions into the first equation of (13), we obtain equations

$$9m^4 - 26m^2n^2 + n^4 = \pm \left(\frac{2q}{p}\right)^2$$

where p divides 2. Since the polynomial in the l.h.s. is irreducible, these equations are Thue equations.

According to PARI/GP, they have the following coprime solutions (m, n) and corresponding triples (x, y, z) (up to signs):

(p, q)	(m , n)	(x , y , z)
(2, 1)	(0, 1)	(0, 2, 2)
(1, 2)	(0, 2), (1, 1), (1, 5)	(0, 2, 2), (1, 1, 2), (5, 11, 14)
(2, 3)	(1, 0)	(0, 2, 2)
(1, 6)	(2, 0), (1, 3)	(0, 2, 2), (1, 1, 2), (5, 11, 14)

Using a particular solution $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (1, 2, 3)$ to the equation $-13x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$, from (3) we obtain a general solution:

$$\begin{cases} x = (13m^2 - 4mn + n^2)\frac{p}{q} \\ y = (26m^2 - 26mn + 2n^2)\frac{p}{q} \\ z = (39m^2 - 3n^2)\frac{p}{q} \end{cases}$$

where the integers m, n as well as p, q are coprime, and $q > 0$ divides 234. Plugging these expressions into the first equation of (13), we obtain equations

$$-169m^4 - 832m^3n + 570m^2n^2 - 64mn^3 - n^4 = \pm \left(\frac{2q}{p}\right)^2$$

where p divides 2. Since the polynomial in the l.h.s. is irreducible, these equations are Thue equations. According to PARI/GP, they have the following coprime solutions (m, n) (up to simultaneous change of signs) and corresponding triples $(|x|, |y|, |z|)$:

(p, q)	(m, n)	(x , y , z)
(2, 1)	(0, 1)	(2, 4, 6)
(1, 2)	(0, 2)	(2, 4, 6)
(2, 9)	(0, 3), (1, 2)	(2, 4, 6)
(2, 13)	(1, 0)	(2, 4, 6)
(1, 18)	(0, 6), (1, -1), (1, 5), (2, 4)	(1, 3, 2), (2, 4, 6)
(1, 26)	(2, 0)	(2, 4, 6)
(2, 117)	(2, 13), (3, 0)	(2, 4, 6)
(1, 234)	(1, -13), (5, 13), (4, 26), (6, 0)	(1, 3, 2), (2, 4, 6)

□

4.2 Intersections of some Lucas sequences

In this section we lists some interesting numerical results and pose related open questions. As before, we consider only on non-degenerate sequence and in addition exclude the sequences with $(P, Q) = (3, 1)$ corresponding to bisections of those with $(P, Q) = (1, -1)$.

We call the intersection of sequences $U_n(P_1, Q_1)$ and $U_n(P_2, Q_2)$ *non-trivial* if it contains an integer $m > 1$ different from P_1 and P_2 . Examples of non-trivial finite intersections are

$$\begin{aligned} \{U_n(3, -1)\} \cap \{U_n(19, 1)\} &= \{0, 1, 360\}, \\ \{U_n(8, -1)\} \cap \{U_n(23, 1)\} &= \{0, 1, 528\}, \\ \{U_n(3, -1)\} \cap \{U_n(6, 1)\} &= \{0, 1, 1189\}. \end{aligned}$$

For an integer $m > 1$, we can find all values of $P > 0$ such that $m \in \{U_n(P, Q)\}$ for some $Q = \pm 1$ by solving the equation (followed from Theorem 1)

$$y^2 - m^2 P^2 = \pm 4(m^2 \pm 1).$$

In particular, the only integers $m \leq 10^9$ that belong to two distinct sequences $U_n(P_1, Q_1)$ and $U_n(P_2, Q_2)$ for some $P_1 \neq m, P_2 \neq m$, and $|Q_1| = |Q_2| = 1$, are 5, 360, 528, and 1189. Are there any integers $m > 10^9$ with the analogous property?

Another notable intersection is

$$\{U_n(1, -1)\} \cap \{U_n(2, -1)\} = \{0, 1, 2, 5\}$$

which is the only intersection of size four that we are aware about. Does there exist any other?

Similarly, we call the intersection of $U_n(P_1, Q_1)$ and $V_n(P_2, Q_2)$ *non-trivial* if it contains an integer $m > 2$ different from P_1 , and P_2 . Examples of non-trivial intersections are

$$\{U_n(1, -1)\} \cap \{V_n(2, -1)\} = \{U_n(1, -1)\} \cap \{V_n(6, 1)\} = \{2, 34\},$$

$$\{U_n(9, -1)\} \cap \{V_n(2, -1)\} = \{82\}.$$

In particular, the only integers $m, 2 < m \leq 10^9$, that belong the intersection $U_n(P_1, Q_1)$ and $V_n(P_2, Q_2)$ for some $P_1 \neq m, P_2 \neq m$, and $|Q_1| = |Q_2| = 1$, are 3, 29, 34, and 82. Are there any integers $m > 10^9$ with the analogous property?

The intersections

$$\{U_n(1, -1)\} \cap \{V_n(1, -1)\} = \{1, 2, 3\},$$

$$\{U_n(2, -1)\} \cap \{V_n(1, -1)\} = \{1, 2, 29\}$$

have size 3. Are there any other?

Again, we call the intersection of $V_n(P_1, Q_1)$ and $V_n(P_2, Q_2)$ *non-trivial* if it contains an integer different from $m > 2$, P_1 , and P_2 . Examples of non-trivial finite intersections are

$$\{V_n(1, -1)\} \cap \{V_n(3, -1)\} = \{2, 3, 11\},$$

$$\{V_n(2, -1)\} \cap \{V_n(4, 1)\} = \{2, 14\}.$$

Are there any other non-trivial finite intersections?

Table 1 gives all parameters (P_1, Q_1) and (P_2, Q_2) such that $P_1 < P_2 \leq 1000$, $|Q_1| = |Q_2| = 1$ and $\{V_n(P_1, Q_1)\} \cap \{V_n(P_2, Q_2)\}$ is infinite.

5 Lucas sequences with arbitrary initial terms

For fixed $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|Q| = 1$, consider a sequence that satisfy the same recurrent relation as $U_n(P, Q)$ but with initial terms $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$U_0^{a,b}(P, Q) = a, \quad U_1^{a,b}(P, Q) = b, \quad U_{n+1}^{a,b}(P, Q) = P \cdot U_n^{a,b}(P, Q) - Q \cdot U_{n-1}^{a,b}(P, Q), \quad n \geq 1.$$

It is easy to see that it can be expressed in terms of conventional Lucas sequences as follows:

$$U_n^{a,b}(P, Q) = (b - aP) \cdot U_n(P, Q) + a \cdot U_{n+1}(P, Q).$$

This representation allows us to define a counterpart sequence:

$$V_n^{a,b}(P, Q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b - aP) \cdot V_n(P, Q) + a \cdot V_{n+1}(P, Q) = U_n^{2b-aP, bP-2aQ}(P, Q).$$

It is easy to see that the generalized Lucas sequences satisfy an identity similar to (1):

$$V_n^{a,b}(P, Q)^2 - D \cdot U_n^{a,b}(P, Q)^2 = ((2b - aP)^2 - Da^2)Q^n$$

where $D = P^2 - 4Q$. However, there is no general analog of Theorem 1 and hence the identity

$$y^2 - D \cdot x^2 = \pm((2b - aP)^2 - Da^2) \tag{14}$$

can be used only as a necessary condition for testing membership of x in $U_n^{a,b}(P, Q)$. In particular, while $(x, y) = (8, 34)$ is a solution to equation (14) for $(P, Q, a, b) = (1, -1, 1, 15)$, 8 is not an element of $U_n^{1,15}(1, -1)$.

The quadratic equation (14) allows one to compute the intersection of Lucas sequences with arbitrary initial terms and prove its finiteness similarly to the case of classic Lucas sequences.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Rustem Aydagulov for a number of insightful discussions.

References

- [1] Y. Bilu and G. Hanrot. Solving Thue equations of high degree. *Journal of Number Theory*, 60(2):373–392, 1996.
- [2] H. Cohen. *Number Theory: Tools and Diophantine equations*, volume I. Springer, 2007.
- [3] J. E. Cremona and D. Rusin. Efficient solution of rational conics. *Mathematics of Computation*, 72:1417–1441, 2003.
- [4] F. Luca and L. Szalay. Consecutive binomial coefficients satisfying a quadratic relation. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 69:185–194, 2006.
- [5] F. Mátyás. On the common terms of second order linear recurrences. *Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ.*, 9(1):89–97, 1981.
- [6] M. Mignotte. Intersection des images de certaines suites récurrentes linéaires. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 7(1):117–121, 1978.
- [7] R. G. E. Pinch. Simultaneous Pellian equations. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 103(01):35–46, 1988.
- [8] A. S. Posamentier and I. Lehmann. *The Fabulous Fibonacci Numbers*. Prometheus Books, 2007.
- [9] G. Revuz. Equations deiphanties exponentielles. *Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mem.*, 37:139–156, 1974.
- [10] C. L. Siegel. Über einige Anwendungen Diophantischer Approximationen. *Abh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys. Math. Kl.*, 1:41–69, 1929.
- [11] S. K. Stein. The intersection of Fibonacci sequences. *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 9:399–402, 1962.
- [12] L. Szalay. On the resolution of simultaneous Pell equations. *Annales Mathematicae and Informaticae*, 34:77–87, 2007.
- [13] The PARI Group, Bordeaux. *PARI/GP, version 2.4.3*, 2010. Available from <http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr>.
- [14] A. Thue. Über Annäherungswerte algebraischer Zahlen. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 135:284–305, 1909.
- [15] N. Tzanakis. Effective solution of two simultaneous Pell equations by the elliptic logarithm method. *Acta Arith.*, 103:119–135, 2002.
- [16] N. Tzanakis and B. M. M. de Weger. On the practical solution of the Thue equation. *Journal of Number Theory*, 31(2):99–132, 1989.

(P_1, Q_1)	(P_2, Q_2)	(P, Q)	(P_1, Q_1)	(P_2, Q_2)	(P, Q)
(1,-1)	(3,1)	(3,1)	(5,1)	(23,1)	(23,1)
(1,-1)	(4,-1)	(4,-1)	(5,1)	(110,1)	(110,1)
(1,-1)	(7,1)	(7,1)	(5,1)	(527,1)	(527,1)
(1,-1)	(11,-1)	(11,-1)	(5,-1)	(27,1)	(27,1)
(1,-1)	(18,1)	(18,1)	(5,-1)	(140,-1)	(140,-1)
(1,-1)	(29,-1)	(29,-1)	(5,-1)	(727,1)	(727,1)
(1,-1)	(47,1)	(47,1)	(6,1)	(14,-1)	(198,1)
(1,-1)	(76,-1)	(76,-1)	(6,1)	(34,1)	(34,1)
(1,-1)	(123,1)	(123,1)	(6,1)	(82,-1)	(6726,1)
(1,-1)	(199,-1)	(199,-1)	(6,1)	(198,1)	(198,1)
(1,-1)	(322,1)	(322,1)	(6,1)	(478,-1)	(228486,1)
(1,-1)	(521,-1)	(521,-1)	(6,-1)	(38,1)	(38,1)
(1,-1)	(843,1)	(843,1)	(6,-1)	(234,-1)	(234,-1)
(2,-1)	(6,1)	(6,1)	(7,1)	(11,-1)	(15127,1)
(2,-1)	(14,-1)	(14,-1)	(7,1)	(18,1)	(322,1)
(2,-1)	(34,1)	(34,1)	(7,1)	(29,-1)	(710647,1)
(2,-1)	(82,-1)	(82,-1)	(7,1)	(47,1)	(47,1)
(2,-1)	(198,1)	(198,1)	(7,1)	(76,-1)	(33385282,1)
(2,-1)	(478,-1)	(478,-1)	(7,1)	(123,1)	(15127,1)
(3,1)	(4,-1)	(18,1)	(7,1)	(199,-1)	(1568397607,1)
(3,1)	(7,1)	(7,1)	(7,1)	(322,1)	(322,1)
(3,1)	(11,-1)	(123,1)	(7,1)	(521,-1)	(73681302247,1)
(3,1)	(18,1)	(18,1)	(7,1)	(843,1)	(710647,1)
(3,1)	(29,-1)	(843,1)	(7,-1)	(51,1)	(51,1)
(3,1)	(47,1)	(47,1)	(7,-1)	(364,-1)	(364,-1)
(3,1)	(76,-1)	(5778,1)	(8,1)	(62,1)	(62,1)
(3,1)	(123,1)	(123,1)	(8,1)	(488,1)	(488,1)
(3,1)	(199,-1)	(39603,1)	(8,-1)	(66,1)	(66,1)
(3,1)	(322,1)	(322,1)	(8,-1)	(536,-1)	(536,-1)
(3,1)	(521,-1)	(271443,1)	(9,1)	(79,1)	(79,1)
(3,1)	(843,1)	(843,1)	(9,1)	(702,1)	(702,1)
(3,-1)	(11,1)	(11,1)	(9,-1)	(83,1)	(83,1)
(3,-1)	(36,-1)	(36,-1)	(9,-1)	(756,-1)	(756,-1)
(3,-1)	(119,1)	(119,1)	(10,1)	(98,1)	(98,1)
(3,-1)	(393,-1)	(393,-1)	(10,1)	(970,1)	(970,1)
(4,1)	(14,1)	(14,1)	(10,-1)	(102,1)	(102,1)
(4,1)	(52,1)	(52,1)	(11,1)	(36,-1)	(1298,1)
(4,1)	(194,1)	(194,1)	(11,1)	(119,1)	(119,1)
(4,1)	(724,1)	(724,1)	(11,1)	(393,-1)	(154451,1)
(4,-1)	(7,1)	(322,1)	(11,-1)	(18,1)	(1860498,1)
(4,-1)	(11,-1)	(1364,-1)	(11,-1)	(29,-1)	(20633239,-1)
(4,-1)	(18,1)	(18,1)	(11,-1)	(47,1)	(228826127,1)
(4,-1)	(29,-1)	(24476,-1)	(11,-1)	(76,-1)	(2537720636,-1)
(4,-1)	(47,1)	(103682,1)	(11,-1)	(123,1)	(123,1)
(4,-1)	(76,-1)	(76,-1)	(11,-1)	(199,-1)	(312119004989,-1)
(4,-1)	(123,1)	(1860498,1)	(11,-1)	(322,1)	(3461452808002,1)
(4,-1)	(199,-1)	(7881196,-1)	(11,-1)	(521,-1)	(38388099893011,-1)
(4,-1)	(322,1)	(322,1)	(11,-1)	(843,1)	(425730551631123,1)
(4,-1)	(521,-1)	(141422324,-1)	(12,1)	(142,1)	(142,1)
(4,-1)	(843,1)	(599074578,1)	(12,-1)	(146,1)	(146,1)

Table 1: Parameters such that $\{V_n(P_1, Q_1)\} \cap \{V_n(P_2, Q_2)\} = \{V_n(P, Q)\}$.

(P_1, Q_1)	(P_2, Q_2)	(P, Q)	(P_1, Q_1)	(P_2, Q_2)	(P, Q)
(13,1)	(167,1)	(167,1)	(29,-1)	(199,-1)	(12360848946698171,-1)
(13,-1)	(171,1)	(171,1)	(29,-1)	(322,1)	(358890350005878082,1)
(14,1)	(52,1)	(2702,1)	(29,-1)	(521,-1)	(10420180999117162549,-1)
(14,1)	(194,1)	(194,1)	(29,-1)	(843,1)	(843,1)
(14,1)	(724,1)	(524174,1)	(30,1)	(898,1)	(898,1)
(14,-1)	(34,1)	(39202,1)	(30,-1)	(902,1)	(902,1)
(14,-1)	(82,-1)	(551614,-1)	(31,1)	(959,1)	(959,1)
(14,-1)	(198,1)	(198,1)	(31,-1)	(963,1)	(963,1)
(14,-1)	(478,-1)	(109216786,-1)	(34,1)	(82,-1)	(45239074,1)
(15,1)	(223,1)	(223,1)	(34,1)	(198,1)	(39202,1)
(15,-1)	(227,1)	(227,1)	(34,1)	(478,-1)	(52205852194,1)
(16,1)	(254,1)	(254,1)	(36,-1)	(119,1)	(1684802,1)
(16,-1)	(258,1)	(258,1)	(36,-1)	(393,-1)	(60699636,-1)
(17,1)	(287,1)	(287,1)	(38,1)	(234,-1)	(54758,1)
(17,-1)	(291,1)	(291,1)	(47,1)	(76,-1)	(1114577054219522,1)
(18,1)	(29,-1)	(599074578,1)	(47,1)	(123,1)	(228826127,1)
(18,1)	(47,1)	(103682,1)	(47,1)	(199,-1)	(2459871053643326447,1)
(18,1)	(76,-1)	(5778,1)	(47,1)	(322,1)	(103682,1)
(18,1)	(123,1)	(1860498,1)	(47,1)	(521,-1)	(5428934300813767249007,1)
(18,1)	(199,-1)	(62113250390418,1)	(47,1)	(843,1)	(505019158607,1)
(18,1)	(322,1)	(322,1)	(51,1)	(364,-1)	(132498,1)
(18,1)	(521,-1)	(20000273725560978,1)	(52,1)	(194,1)	(7300802,1)
(18,1)	(843,1)	(599074578,1)	(52,1)	(724,1)	(379501252,1)
(18,-1)	(326,1)	(326,1)	(62,1)	(488,1)	(238142,1)
(19,1)	(359,1)	(359,1)	(66,1)	(536,-1)	(287298,1)
(19,-1)	(363,1)	(363,1)	(76,-1)	(123,1)	(6440026026380244498,1)
(20,1)	(398,1)	(398,1)	(76,-1)	(199,-1)	(489526700523968661124,-1)
(20,-1)	(402,1)	(402,1)	(76,-1)	(322,1)	(33385282,1)
(21,1)	(439,1)	(439,1)	(76,-1)	(521,-1)	(2828485190904971853895196,-1)
(21,-1)	(443,1)	(443,1)	(76,-1)	(843,1)	(215002084978043708894524818,1)
(22,1)	(482,1)	(482,1)	(79,1)	(702,1)	(492802,1)
(22,-1)	(486,1)	(486,1)	(82,-1)	(198,1)	(304278004998,1)
(23,1)	(110,1)	(12098,1)	(82,-1)	(478,-1)	(24954506565518,-1)
(23,1)	(527,1)	(527,1)	(83,1)	(756,-1)	(571538,1)
(23,-1)	(531,1)	(531,1)	(98,1)	(970,1)	(940898,1)
(24,1)	(574,1)	(574,1)	(110,1)	(527,1)	(146361602,1)
(24,-1)	(578,1)	(578,1)	(119,1)	(393,-1)	(23855111399,1)
(25,1)	(623,1)	(623,1)	(123,1)	(199,-1)	(97418273275323406890123,1)
(25,-1)	(627,1)	(627,1)	(123,1)	(322,1)	(3461452808002,1)
(26,1)	(674,1)	(674,1)	(123,1)	(521,-1)	(1473646213395791149646646123,1)
(26,-1)	(678,1)	(678,1)	(123,1)	(843,1)	(425730551631123,1)
(27,1)	(140,-1)	(19602,1)	(140,-1)	(727,1)	(384238402,1)
(27,1)	(727,1)	(727,1)	(194,1)	(724,1)	(274758382274,1)
(27,-1)	(731,1)	(731,1)	(198,1)	(478,-1)	(11928306344169798,1)
(28,1)	(782,1)	(782,1)	(199,-1)	(322,1)	(3858055874062761829426214722,1)
(28,-1)	(786,1)	(786,1)	(199,-1)	(521,-1)	(767772505664398093937756525279,-1)
(29,1)	(839,1)	(839,1)	(199,-1)	(843,1)	(152790586683089283455442974745243,1)
(29,-1)	(47,1)	(505019158607,1)	(322,1)	(521,-1)	(400010949097364802732720796316482,1)
(29,-1)	(76,-1)	(14662949395604,-1)	(322,1)	(843,1)	(358890350005878082,1)
(29,-1)	(123,1)	(425730551631123,1)	(521,-1)	(843,1)	(108580172054362347934782139964888177403,1)

Table 1: (con'd) Parameters such that $\{V_n(P_1, Q_1)\} \cap \{V_n(P_2, Q_2)\} = \{V_n(P, Q)\}$.